No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Medical Policy

BlueCross BlueShield Kansas
An Independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association

Title: Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment

Related Policies:	▪ *Cytochrome p450 Genotype-Guided Treatment Strategy*

Professional / Institutional
Original Effective Date: October 26, 2010
Latest Review Date: September 12, 2023
Current Effective Date: November 9, 2016

Archived Date: September 12, 2023

State and Federal mandates and health plan member contract language, including specific provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. To verify a member's benefits, contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Customer Service.

The BCBSKS Medical Policies contained herein are for informational purposes and apply only to members who have health insurance through BCBSKS or who are covered by a self-insured group plan administered by BCBSKS. Medical Policy for FEP members is subject to FEP medical policy which may differ from BCBSKS Medical Policy.

The medical policies do not constitute medical advice or medical care. Treating health care providers are independent contractors and are neither employees nor agents of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas and are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and medical advice.

If your patient is covered under a different Blue Cross and Blue Shield plan, please refer to the Medical Policies of that plan.

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: • Who are treated with tamoxifen for breast cancer or are at high-risk of breast cancer	Interventions of interest are: • *CYP2D6* genotype-guided tamoxifen treatment	Comparators of interest are: • Clinically guided tamoxifen treatment	Relevant outcomes include: • Overall survival • Disease-specific survival • Medication use • Treatment-related morbidity

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

DESCRIPTION

Tamoxifen is prescribed as a component of adjuvant endocrine therapy to prevent endocrine receptor-positive breast cancer recurrence, to treat metastatic breast cancer, and to prevent disease in high-risk populations and in women with ductal carcinoma in situ. Tamoxifen is a pro-drug that undergoes extensive metabolism to yield its active form: 4-hydroxytamoxifen and endoxifen (primary active form) via the cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) enzyme. Variants in the *CYP2D6* gene are associated with significant alterations in endoxifen concentrations leading to the hypothesis that *CYP2D6* variation may affect the clinical outcomes of women treated with tamoxifen but not with drugs not metabolized by CYP2D6 such as anastrozole.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether genotype-guided tamoxifen treatment improves the net health outcome in individuals with breast cancer or those who are at high-risk of developing breast cancer.

BACKGROUND

Tamoxifen Metabolism

Tamoxifen is a pro-drug that undergoes extensive metabolism to yield its active form: 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OH tamoxifen) and 4-hydroxy-*N*-desmethyltamoxifen (endoxifen).[1,] Among these 2 metabolites, endoxifen is thought to be the major metabolite that exerts the pharmacodynamic effect of tamoxifen. The metabolism of tamoxifen into 4-OH tamoxifen is catalyzed by multiple enzymes, while endoxifen is formed predominantly by the cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) enzyme. Plasma concentrations of endoxifen exhibit high inter-individual variability, as described in breast cancer patients.[2,] Because CYP2D6 enzyme activity is known to vary across individuals, variants in the *CYP2D6* gene are of great interest for understanding tamoxifen metabolism variability and variation in levels of circulating active metabolites. Moreover, known variability in endoxifen levels has been hypothesized to result in variable responses to tamoxifen treatment.

Metabolic Enzyme Genotypes

The *CYP2D6* gene exhibits a high degree of polymorphism, with more than 100 allelic variants identified. The relations among genotype, phenotype, and clinical implications are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Relation Among the *CYP2D6* Genotype, Phenotype, and Clinical Implications

Genotype	Phenotype	Potential Clinical Implications With Use of Tamoxifen
≥3 copies of functional alleles	Ultra-rapid metabolizer	None

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Genotype	Phenotype	Potential Clinical Implications With Use of Tamoxifen
Any 1 of the following scenarios: • 1 active allele and 1 inactive allele • 2 decreased activity alleles • 1 decreased activity allele and 1 inactive allele	Intermediate metabolizer	• Increased risk for relapse of breast cancer • Avoid concomitant use of CYP2D6 inhibitors • Consider aromatase inhibitor for postmenopausal women
2 inactive alleles	Poor metabolizer	• Increased risk for relapse of breast cancer • Consider aromatase inhibitor for postmenopausal women

Adapted from Swen et al (2011).[3,]

The prevalence of *CYP2D6* poor metabolizers is approximately 7% to 10% in White individuals of Northern European descent, 1.9% to 7.3% in Black individuals , and 1% or less in most Asian populations studied. The poor metabolizer phenotype in White individuals is largely accounted for by *CYP2D6*3* and **4* nonfunctional variants, and in black and Asian populations, by the **5* nonfunctional variant. Some poor metabolizers may have 1 nonfunctional allele and 1 reduced-function allele. Among reduced-function variants, *CYP2D6*17*, **10*, and **8* are the most important in Black, Asian, and White individuals, respectively. Few studies have investigated the frequency of *CYP2D6*-variant alleles or poor metabolizers in the Hispanic population.[4,]

Endocrine Therapy Regimens

Tamoxifen has several labeled indications[5,]

- chemoprevention of invasive breast cancer in high-risk women without current disease or with ductal carcinoma in situ;
- adjuvant treatment of primary breast cancer; and
- treatment of metastatic disease.

In women with breast cancer, endocrine receptor-positive disease predicts a likely benefit from tamoxifen treatment. Tamoxifen is currently the most commonly prescribed adjuvant treatment to prevent recurrence of endocrine receptor-positive breast cancer in pre- or perimenopausal women.

For postmenopausal women with osteoporosis or at high risk for invasive breast cancer, raloxifene is an alternative treatment for invasive cancer risk reduction. Currently, raloxifene is indicated for the reduction in "risk of invasive breast cancer in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis" or those at "high risk for invasive breast cancer."[6,]

Pharmacologic Inhibitors of Metabolic Enzymes

CYP2D6 activity may be affected not only by genotype but also by co-administered drugs that block or induce CYP2D6 function. Studies of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, in particular, have shown that fluoxetine and paroxetine, but not sertraline, fluvoxamine, or venlafaxine, are

potent CYP2D6 inhibitors.[7,8,9,] Some individuals treated with fluoxetine or paroxetine have changed from an extensive metabolizer phenotype to a poor metabolizer.[7,] The degree of inhibition may depend on the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor dose.

Thus, CYP2D6 inhibitor use must be considered in assigning CYP2D6 functional status, and potent CYP2D6 inhibitors may need to be avoided when tamoxifen is administered.

REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). *CYP2D6* genotyping assays are available under the auspices of CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test

Several testing kits for *CYP450* genotyping cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process (FDA product code: NTI) are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Testing Kits for *CYP450* Genotyping Cleared for Marketing by the FDA

Device Name	Manufacturer	Approval Date
xTAG CYP2D6 Kit V3	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	2017
xTAG CYP2C19 Kit V3	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	2013
Spartan RX CYP2C19 Test System	Spartan Bioscience	2013
xTAG CYP2D6 Kit V3 (including TDAS CYP2D6)	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	2013
Verigene CYP2C19 Nucleic Acid Test (CYP2C19)	Nanosphere	2012
Infiniti CYP2C19 Assay	AutoGenomics	2010
xTAG CYP2D6 Kit V3, Model I030C0300	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	2010
Invader UGT1A1 Molecular Assay	Third Wave Technologies	2005
Roche AmpliChip CYP450 Test	Roche Molecular Systems	2005

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Several manufacturers market diagnostic genotyping panel tests for *CYP450* genes, such as the YouScript Panel (Genelex Corp.), which includes *CYP2D6*, *CYP2C19*, *CYP2C9*, *VKORC1*, *CYP3A4*, and *CYP3A5*. Other panel tests include both CYP450 and other non-CYP450 genes involved in drug metabolism, such as the GeneSight Psychotropic panel (Assurex Health). These panel tests are beyond the scope of this evidence review.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

POLICY

Genotyping to determine cytochrome P450 2D6 (*CYP2D6*) variants is considered **experimental / investigational** for the purpose of managing treatment with tamoxifen for individuals at high risk for or with breast cancer.

Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

RATIONALE

This evidence review has been updated regularly with searches of the PubMed database. The most recent literature update was performed through May 18, 2023.

Evidence reviews assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance, and quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent 1 or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. Randomized controlled trials are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

The primary goal of pharmacogenomics testing and personalized medicine is to achieve better clinical outcomes compared with the standard of care. Drug response varies greatly between individuals, and genetic factors are known to play a role. However, in most cases, the genetic variation only explains a modest portion of the variance in the individual response because clinical outcomes are also affected by a wide variety of factors including alternate pathways of metabolism and patient- and disease-related factors that may affect absorption, distribution, and elimination of the drug. Therefore, assessment of clinical utility cannot be made by a chain of evidence from clinical validity data alone. In such cases, evidence evaluation requires studies that

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

directly demonstrate that the pharmacogenomic test alters clinical outcomes; it is not sufficient to demonstrate that the test predicts a disorder or a phenotype.

Promotion of greater diversity and inclusion in clinical research of historically marginalized groups (e.g., People of Color [African-American, Asian, Black, Latino and Native American]; LGBTQIA (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual); Women; and People with Disabilities [Physical and Invisible]) allows policy populations to be more reflective of and findings more applicable to our diverse members. While we also strive to use inclusive language related to these groups in our policies, use of gender-specific nouns (e.g., women, men, sisters, etc.) will continue when reflective of language used in publications describing study populations.

GENOTYPE-GUIDED TAMOXIFEN TREATMENT

Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of genotype-guided tamoxifen treatment is to tailor drug selection (e.g., tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor) or dose selection (e.g., tamoxifen 40 mg/d instead of the standard 20 mg/d dose) or strategy (e.g., ovarian ablation in premenopausal women) while minimizing treatment failures or toxicities based on an individual's genotype.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals receiving or being considered for tamoxifen therapy:

- Treatment of breast cancer in the adjuvant setting to prevent recurrence (alone or preceding aromatase inhibitor therapy) or for metastatic disease.
- Prevention of breast cancer in high-risk women or women with ductal carcinoma in situ; and absence of contraindications to aromatase inhibitors (for treatment) or raloxifene (for disease prevention).

Interventions

The therapy being considered is cytochrome P450 2D6 (*CYP2D6)* genotype-guided tamoxifen treatment. Commercial tests for individual genes or gene panels are available and listed in the Regulatory Status section.

Comparators

The following practice is currently being used: clinically guided tamoxifen treatment.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The potential beneficial outcomes of primary interest would be a reduction in the rate of recurrence and improvement in disease-free survival or OS. Specific outcomes are listed in Table 3. The follow-up to determine whether genotype-guided tamoxifen

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

treatment reduces adverse events or avoids treatment failure is during the first 10 years after treatment initiation.

Table 3. Outcomes of Interest for Individuals With or at High-Risk for Breast Cancer

Outcomes	Details
Medication use	Change to alternative treatment (aromatase inhibitor) or strategy (ovarian ablation in premenopausal women)
Treatment-related morbidity	Reduction in adverse events

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies;
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought;
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE

Meta-Analyses and Systematic Reviews

Multiple retrospective and prospective cohort studies have investigated the association between *CYP2D6* genotype and tamoxifen effectiveness and reported contradictory results with relative risks ranging from 0.08 to 13.1 for the association between variant *CYP2D6* genotypes and breast cancer recurrence or mortality.[10,] Many of these studies have been summarized in multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses with inconsistent results.[10,11,] Contradictory results may be due to differences in the types of additional therapies patients received, how many and which *CYP2D6* alleles were tested, tissue type examined (tumor or germline DNA), and co-administration with *CYP2D6* inhibitors. A comparison of the studies included in 2 recent reviews is in Table 4. These reviews analyzed a total of 45 studies published between 2005 and 2017. Characteristics and results of these reviews are summarized in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 4. Comparison of Studies Included in Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment Systematic Reviews and Meta- Analyses

Study	Ahern et al (2016)[10,]	Drögemöller et al (2019)[11,]
Abraham et al (2010)[12,]	●	●
Abreu et al (2015)[13,]		●
Bijl et al (2009)[14,]	●	●
Brooks et al (2013)[15,]		●

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study	Ahern et al (2016)[10],	Drögemöller et al (2019)[11],
Chamnanphon et al (2013)[16],	●	●
Damodaran et al (2012)[17],	●	●
De Ameida Melo et al (2016)[18],		●
Dezentje et al (2013)[19],	●	
Goetz et al (2005)[20,*]	●	
Goetz et al (2013)[21],	●	●
Gor et al (2010)[22],	●	
Gunaldi et al (2014)[23],		●
Hertz et al (2017)[24],		●
Johansson et al (2016)[25],		●
Karle et al (2013)[26],		●
Kiyotani et al (2010)[27],	●	●
Kiyotani et al (2010)[28],		●
Lammers et al (2010)[29],		●
Lash et al (2011)[30],	●	●
Lei et al (2016)[31],		●
Margolin et al (2013)[32],		●
Markkula et al (2014)[33],	●	
Martins et al (2014)[34],		●
Morrow et al (2012)[35],		●
Mwinyi et al (2014)[36],	●	
Newman et al (2008)[37],		●
Nowell et al (2005)[38],		●
Okishiro et al (2009)[39],	●	●
Park et al (2011)[40],	●	●
Park et al (2012)[41],		●
Province et al (2014)[42],		●
Rae et al (2012)[43],	●	●

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study	Ahern et al (2016)[10,]	Drögemöller et al (2019)[11,]
Regan et al (2012)[44,]	●	●
Schroth et al (2007)[45,*]	●	
Schroth et al (2009)[46,*]		●
Sirachainan et al (2012)[47,]	●	●
Stingl et al (2010)[48,]		●
Sukasem et al (2012)[49,]	●	●
Teh et al (2012)[50,]	●	●
Thompson et al (2011)[51,]		●
Toyama et al (2009)[52,]		●
Wegman et al (2005)[53,]		●
Wegman et al (2007)[54,]		●
Xu et al (2008)[55,]	●	●
Yazdi et al (2015)[56,]		●

*Schroth et al 2007 and Goetz et al 2005 include the same sample as Schroth et al 2009.

Table 5. Systematic Reviews & Meta-Analyses of Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment: Characteristics

Study (Year)	Dates	Trials	Participants	N (Range)	Design	Duration
Ahern et al (2016)[10,]	2005-2014	31 total (21 included in the analysis)	Women treated with tamoxifen for breast cancer who underwent *CYP2D6* genotyping	NR (NR)	Observational	NR
Drögemöller et al (2019)[11,]	2005-2016	48 total (representing 38 unique study populations)	Women treated with tamoxifen for breast cancer who underwent *CYP2D6* genotyping	20,054 (39 to 4973)	Observational	NR

NR: not reported.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Table 6. Systematic Reviews & Meta-Analyses of Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment: Results

Study (Year)	Overall survival	Rate of Recurrence	Disease-free survival	Adverse events	Change to alternative treatment or strategy
Ahern et al (2016)[10,]	*Composite of mortality or recurrence*		NA	NA	NA
RR (95% CI)	1.71 (1.24 to 2.36)				
P for homogeneity	<.001				
Adjusted RR (95% CI)[1]	1.80 (1.28 to 2.54)				
Drögemöller et al (2019)[11,]	*Association between CYP2D6* and *tamoxifen survival outcomes*	NA	NA	NA	NA
Studies reporting at least 1 statistically significant association, n/N (%)	20/38 (52.6%)				
Studies reporting no statistically significant association, n/N (%)	18/38 (47.4%)				

[1] Adjusted for bias due to tissue sampling.
CI=confidence interval; NA=not applicable; RR=relative risk.

Drögemöller et al (2019) conducted a systematic review of the association between CYP2D6 genetic variation and survival outcomes after tamoxifen treatment.[11,] Included studies showed conflicting conclusions. In multivariate analyses, there was no significant relationship between survival outcomes and the confounders of sample size (p=.83), ethnicity (p=.33), or source of DNA (p=.14). Comprehensive genotyping panels were more likely to report a significant association with CYP2D6-survival outcome: 11 of 13 studies that used comprehensive genotyping found a significant association between CYP2D6 and survival outcomes. Limitations of the studies identified by the review authors included differences in survival outcome definitions, differences in metabolizer group classifications, low consent rates, and not controlling for CYP2D6 inhibitor use. Data in most of these studies were derived from a convenience sample, which was further

limited by relatively small numbers of patients, lack of comprehensive genotype data and patient data (e.g., concomitant medications), and detailed clinical outcomes data.

Randomized Controlled Trial

One trial of genotype-directed dosing that assessed outcomes of breast cancer recurrence was identified (TARGET-1: *CYP2D6* Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Dosing in Hormone Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer trial; Tables 7 through 10). The RCT is a phase II, proof-of-concept study performed at multiple centers in Japan. A total of 184 patients were included in this study, of which 136 had at least 1 *CYP2D6* variant-type allele. Only 1 patient classified as a poor metabolizer with 2 null alleles was included in this trial. The results of this trial did not find a significant difference in outcomes between increased tamoxifen dosing and standard dosing in patients with CYP2D6 genotypic variants.[57,]

Table 7. Summary of TARGET-1 Characteristics

Author (Year); Study	Countries	Sites	Dates	Participants	Active	Comparator
Tamura et al (2020); TARGET-1[57,]	Japan	54	2012-2016	Patients with HR-positive metastatic breast cancer, without visceral spread, needing first-line tamoxifen therapy	Tamoxifen 40 mg daily (n=70 patients with *CYP2D6* genotype wt/V or V/V)	Tamoxifen 20 mg daily (n=66 patients with *CYP2D6* genotype wt/V or V/V; n=48 patients with *CYP2D6* genotype wt/wt)

HR: hormone receptor; V/V: variant/variant; wt/V: wild type/variant; wt/wt: wild type/wild type.

Table 8. Summary of Key TARGET-1 Results

Study (Year)	Disease-free survival		Adverse events
Tamura et al (2020)[57,]	*PFS rate at 6 months, %*	*Median PFS (months)*[¥]	*Tamoxifen related, any grade, n (%)*
N	180	132	183
Tamoxifen 40 mg daily (wt/V or V/V)	67.6%	14.4	49 (70.0%)
Tamoxifen 20 mg daily (wt/V or V/V)	66.7%	11.8	43 (66.2%)
Tamoxifen 20 mg daily (wt/wt)	63.0%	NR	29 (60.4%)

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study (Year)	Disease-free survival		Adverse events
HR (95% CI)*	NS/NR	0.75 (0.50 to 1.14)	NS/NR

CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; NR: not reported; NS: not significant; PFS: progression free survival; V/V: variant/variant; wt/V: wild type/variant; wt/wt: wild type/wild type.
¥ Median follow-up = 22.9 months.
* Comparison between tamoxifen 40 mg and 20 mg groups with wt/V or V/V genotypes.

The TARGET-1 trial has limited generalizability to all patients, due to its single-country design and small sample size.[57,] No significant difference was seen in progression-free survival with genotype-guided dosing, even though the trial detected significant differences in tamoxifen metabolite concentrations between tamoxifen doses and allelic variations. Because the trial was a proof-of-concept, phase II design, the median follow-up for clinical outcomes was only 22.9 months. The study did not address outcomes of OS or recurrence. Additionally, the primary analysis comparing progression-free survival only included patients with variant alleles, and patients with 2 wild-type alleles were not included in reported analyses.

Table 9. Study Relevance Limitations of TARGET-1

Study	Population[a]	Intervention[b]	Comparator[c]	Outcomes[d]	Follow-up[e]
Tamura et al (2020)[57,]	5 - Study population from Japan				1,2. Less than 10 years

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.
[a] Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Study population is unclear; 3. Study population not representative of intended use; 4, Enrolled populations do not reflect relevant diversity; 5. Other.
[b] Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator; 4. Not the intervention of interest (e.g., proposed as an adjunct but not tested as such); 5: Other.
[c] Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively; 5. Other.
[d] Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. Incomplete reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported; 7. Other.
[e] Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms; 3. Other.

Table 10. Study Design and Conduct Limitations of TARGET-1

Study	Allocation[a]	Blinding[b]	Selective Reporting[c]	Follow-up[d]	Power[e]	Statistical[f]
Tamura et al (2020)[57,]		1,2 - Open-label study 3 - Outcome assessed locally; central blinded		6 - 1 patient with progressive disease and 2 patients with inadequate images were		3 - CI/p-value not reported for PFS at 6 months

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study	Allocation[a]	Blinding[b]	Selective Reporting[c]	Follow-up[d]	Power[e]	Statistical[f]
		review used to randomly validate outcomes in approximately 28% of patients		excluded from the final analysis		

CI: confidence interval; PFS: progression free survival.
The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.
[a] Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias; 5. Other.
[b] Blinding key: 1. Participants or study staff not blinded; 2. Outcome assessors not blinded; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician; 4. Other.
[c] Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication; 4. Other.
[d] Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials); 7. Other.
[e] Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference; 4. Other.
[f] Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated; 5. Other.

No trials examining genotype-directed drug or strategy choice were identified. Ruddy et al (2013) implemented a tamoxifen adjustment algorithm for 99 patients treated at a cancer treatment institute.[58,] Recommendations to modify tamoxifen therapy were made for 18 (18%) patients, all of whom had low endoxifen levels (<6 ng/mL), and 2 of whom also were identified as *CYP2D6* poor metabolizers. Breast cancer recurrence or survival outcomes were not reported.

Other Prospective Studies

Tables 11 and 12 describe relevant observational or non-randomized prospective studies. Among the most influential studies of the association between *CYP2D6* genotype and tamoxifen effectiveness are 3 nonconcurrent prospective studies nested within large prospective, randomized, double-blind trials that compared tamoxifen with anastrozole, letrozole, or combination tamoxifen and anastrozole in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive early-stage breast cancer.[43,44,21,]A more recent prospective cohort study assigned treatment doses according to CYP2D6 metabolizer status and compared outcomes using propensity score matching.[59,]

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Table 11. Summary of Key Observational and Non-randomized Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment Study Characteristics

Author (Year)	Study Type	Country/Institution	Dates	Participants	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Follow-up
Rae et al (2012); [43,A]TAC	Observational cohort	• 381 centers in 81 countries • Patients from United Kingdom included in genetic study; all other countries were used as comparators in certain analyses	1996-2000	• Postmenopausal women with non-metastatic, invasive breast cancer • Eligible to receive adjuvant hormonal therapy • Had underwent *CYP2D6* genotyping during prospective RCT period • N=588	• Treated with tamoxifen	NA	10 years
Regan et al (2012); [44,BIG 1-98]	Observational cohort	International, multicenter	1998-2003	• Postmenopausal women with HR-positive breast cancer, previously enrolled in RCT • Had a tissue sample available for *CYP2D6* analysis from original RCT period • N=4393	• Treated with tamoxifen	NA	Median: 76 months
Goetz et al (2013); [21,ABCSG]	Matched case-control	• Multicenter	1996-	• Postmenopausal women with ER-positive breast	• Arm A: Trea	NA	5 years

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Author (Year)	Study Type	Country/Institution	Dates	Participants	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Follow-up
		• Genetic substudy occurred in Austria and United States	2009	cancer, previously enrolled in RCT • Had a tissue sample available for *CYP2D6* analysis from original RCT period • Cases were identified by disease recurrence, contralateral breast cancer, second non-breast cancer, or death • n=319 cases and 557 controls	ted with tamoxifen for 5 years • Arm B: Treated with tamoxifen for 2 years followed by anastrozole for 3 years		
Blancas et al (2023)[59],	Prospective cohort with propensity score matching	Single center in Spain	2000-2010	• Women with HR-positive breast cancer planned for adjuvant tamoxifen for ≥5 y • Participants (N=220) underwent *CYP2D6* genotyping and were assigned metabolizer status (PM, n=13; IM, n=84; NM, n=119; UM, n=4) according to CPIC guidelines	• PM: Tamoxifen 20 mg/d for 4 months, then 40 mg/d for 4 months, then	NA	Mean: 112 months

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Author (Year)	Study Type	Country/Institution	Dates	Participants	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Follow-up
					60 mg/d for 4 months, then 20 mg/d for remainder of 5 y • All others: Tamoxifen 20 mg/d for 5 y		

ABCSG: Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group; ATAC: Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination trial; BIG: Breast International Group; CPIC: Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium; ER: estrogen receptor; HR: hormone receptor; IM: intermediate metabolizer; NA: not applicable; NM: normal metabolizer; PM: poor metabolizer; RCT: randomized controlled trial; UM: ultra metabolizer.

Table 12. Summary of Key Observational and Non-randomized Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment Study Results

Study (Year)	Overall survival	Disease free survival	Recurrence		Adverse events	
Rae et al (2012)[43,]	NA	NA	*Distant recurrence in 10 years*	*Any recurrence in 10 years*	NA	NA
N			588	588		
All, n (%)[¥]			89 (15.1%)	115 (19.6%)		
PM vs. IM [score			2.8 (0.93 to 8.46)	2.15 (0.85 to 5.40)		

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study (Year)	Overall survival	Disease free survival	Recurrence		Adverse events	
0.5], HR (95% CI)						
PM vs. IM [score 1.0], HR (95% CI)			1.31 (0.49 to 3.48)	0.94 (0.43 to 2.08)		
PM vs. IM [score 1.5], HR (95% CI)			0.76 (0.20 to 2.84)	0.68 (0.23 to 1.96)		
PM vs. EM, HR (95% CI)			1.25 (0.50 to 3.15)	0.99 (0.48 to 2.08)		
Regan et al (2012)[44,]	NA	NA	*Any Recurrence*		*Treatment induced hot flashes within 2 years*	
			WITHOUT previous chemotherapy	*WITH previous chemotherapy*	WITHOUT previous chemotherapy	WITH previous chemotherapy
N			973	270	487	1706
EM, n (%)			75 (12.3%)	37 (22.2%)	42%	38%
IM, n (%)			40 (14.4%)	12 (15.6%)	49%	39%
IM vs. EM, HR (95% CI)			0.95 (0.50 to 1.40)	0.57 (0.29 to 1.10)	1.23 (1.05 to 1.43)	NR/NS

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study (Year)	Overall survival	Disease free survival	Recurrence		Adverse events	
PM, n (%)			8 (9.3%)	3 (11.5%)	48%	30%
PM vs. EM, HR (95% CI)			0.58 (0.28 to 1.21)	0.76 (0.23 to 2.48)	1.24 (0.96 to 1.59)	NR/NS
Goetz et al (2013)[21,]	*Composite of disease recurrence, contralateral breast cancer, second non-breast cancer, or death at 5 years*[¥]					
	Arm A	Arm B				
EM/IM and IM/IM vs. EM/EM, OR (95% CI)	1.23 (0.58 to 2.61)	1.02 (0.52 to 2.01)				
PM/PM vs. EM/EM, OR (95% CI)	2.45 (1.05 to 5.73)	0.60 (0.15 to 2.37)				
EM/PM and PM/IM vs. EM/EM, OR (95% CI)	1.67 (0.95 to 2.93)	0.76 (0.43 to 1.31)				
Blancas et al (2023)[59,]			NA	NA	NA	NA
IM and PM (rapid	• Overall cohort: 0.77	• Overall cohort: 1.27	•			

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Study (Year)	Overall survival	Disease free survival	Recurrence		Adverse events	
) vs NM and UM (slow), HR (95% CI)	(0.34 to 1.76) • Propensity-matched: 0.85 (0.28 to 2.52)	(0.67 to 2.42) • Propensity-matched: 1.37 (0.62 to 3.03)				

CI: confidence interval; EM: extensive metabolizer; HR: hazard ratio; IM: intermediate metabolizer; NA: not applicable; NM: normal metabolizer; NR: not reported; NS: not significant; OR: odds ratio; PM: poor metabolizer; UM: ultra metabolizer.
¥ Number and percentage of cases and controls with each phenotype not reported.

In the Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination trial[43,] and Breast International Group 1-98 trial,[44,] a subset of patients who received tamoxifen and were genotyped for *CYP2D6* variants (n=588 and n=1243, respectively) did not show any statistically significant associations between phenotype (patients classified as poor, intermediate, or extensive metabolizer) and breast cancer recurrence. In the Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group trial, a case-control study was done using a subset of patients where cases were defined as those with disease recurrence, contralateral breast cancer, second non-breast cancer, or died and controls were identified from the same treatment arm of similar age, surgery/radiation, and stage.[21,] Results showed that patients with 2 poor metabolizer alleles had a higher likelihood of recurrence than women with 2 extensive metabolizer alleles. Concerns about the substantial departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the *CYP2D6* allele *4 and analyses not meeting the Simon-Paik-Hayes criteria for nonconcurrent prospective studies have been raised to explain the lack of effect in the Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination trial and Breast International Group 1-98 trials.[60,]Poor metabolizers constituted less than 10% of the overall cohort in the study by Blancas et al (2023), suggesting that the study may have been underpowered to detect any differences in survival outcomes driven by genotype-guided tamoxifen regimen differences.[59,]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

American Society of Clinical Oncology
In 2016, the guidelines published by the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) on the use of biomarkers to guide decisions on adjuvant systemic therapy for women with early-stage invasive breast cancer stated the following for *CYP2D6* variants to guide adjuvant endocrine therapy selection:

- "The clinician should not use CYP2D6 polymorphisms to guide adjuvant endocrine therapy selection (Type: evidence based; Evidence quality: intermediate; Strength of recommendation: moderate).
- The ability of polymorphisms in CYP2D6 to predict tamoxifen benefit has been extensively studied. The results of these pharmacogenomics studies have been controversial, with more recent studies being negative. At this point, data do not support the use of this marker to select patients who may or may not benefit from tamoxifen therapy."[61,]

A 2022 update to the ASCO guideline stated that the recommendation against use of CYP2D6 polymorphisms to guide adjuvant endocrine therapy had been archived.[62,]

Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium
In 2018, the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium issued therapeutic recommendations for tamoxifen prescribing based on *CYP2D6* genotype/metabolic phenotype.[63,] For the clinical endpoints of recurrence and event-free survival, the evidence was graded as moderate for the statements that CYP2D6 poor metabolizers have a higher risk of breast cancer recurrence or worse event-free survival. However, for the comparison of other metabolizer groups and other clinical endpoints, the evidence was considered weak regarding an association between CYP2D6 metabolizer groups and clinical outcomes.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network
Regarding the use of *CYP2D6* genotyping before prescribing tamoxifen, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network breast cancer guidelines (v.4.2023) state: "CYP2D6 genotype testing is not recommended for patients considering tamoxifen."[64,]

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not applicable.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
Some currently ongoing and unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 12.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

Table 12. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT01357772	Randomized Placebo-controlled Phase III Trial of Low-dose Tamoxifen in Women With Breast Intraepithelial Neoplasia	500	Dec 2028
NCT05525481	Tamoxifen Prediction Study in Patients With ER+ Breast Cancer (PREDICTAM)	100	Jul 2023
Unpublished			
NCT03931928	Genotype and Phenotype Guided Supplementation of TAMoxifen Standard Therapy With ENDOXifen in Breast Cancer Patients	356	May 2021 (completed)

NCT: national clinical trial.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

CODING

The following codes for treatment and procedures applicable to this policy are included below for informational purposes. This may not be a comprehensive list of procedure codes applicable to this policy.

Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

The code(s) listed below are medically necessary ONLY if the procedure is performed according to the "Policy" section of this document.

CPT/HCPCS	
81226	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6 (e.g., drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (e.g., *2, *3, *4, *5, *6, *9, *10, *17, *19, *29, *35, *41, *1XN, *2XN, *4XN)
0070U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, common and select rare variants (i.e., *2, *3, *4, *4N, *5, *6, *7, *8, *9, *10, *11, *12, *13, *14A, *14B, *15, *17, *29, *35, *36, *41, *57, *61, *63, *68, *83, *xN) [Test name-CYP2D6 Common Variants and Copy Number; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0071U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, full gene sequence (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) [Test name-CYP2D6 Full Gene Sequencing; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0072U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (i.e., CYP2D6-2D7 hybrid gene) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) [Test name-CYP2D6-2D7 Hybrid Gene Targeted Sequence Analysis; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0073U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (i.e., CYP2D7-2D6 hybrid gene) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) [Test name-CYP2D7-2D6 Hybrid Gene Targeted Sequence Analysis; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0074U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (i.e., non-duplicated gene when duplication/multiplication is trans) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) [Test name-CYP2D6 Trans-duplication / multiplication Non-duplicated Gene Targeted Sequence Analysis; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0075U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (i.e., 5' gene duplication/multiplication) (List separately in addition to code for primary

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

CPT/HCPCS	
	procedure) [Test name-CYP2D6 5' gene duplication/multiplication targeted sequence analysis; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]
0076U	CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (e.g., drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (i.e., 3' gene duplication/ multiplication) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) [Test name-CYP2D6 3' gene duplication/multiplication targeted sequence analysis; Manufacturer-Mayo Clinic]

REVISIONS	
10-26-2010	Policy added to the bcbsks.com web site.
08-12-2011	Description section updated.
	Rationale section updated.
	In Coding section: Updated nomenclature for CPT codes: 88385, 88386
	Reference section updated.
02-14-2012	In Coding section: ▪ Added CPT code: 81226 (effective 01-01-2012) ▪ Added the following notations: ▪ "81226 should be used for genetic testing for tamoxifen treatment effective 01-01-2012. ▪ 88384, 88385, 88386 should not be used for genetic testing for tamoxifen treatment after 01-01-2012"
06-29-2012	Description section updated.
	Rationale section added.
	References section updated.
01-15-2013	In Coding section: ▪ Removed CPT codes: 88384, 88385, 88386 (effective 12-31-2012).
09-25-2013	Description section reviewed
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
10-06-2015	Description section updated
	In Policy section: ▪ Added "2D6" to read "Genotyping to determine cytochrome p450 2D6 (CYP2D6) genetic polymorphisms…" This update did not change the policy intent, rather added clarification to the policy statement.
	Rational section updated
	References updated
11-09-2016	Description section updated
	In Policy section: ▪ In policy statement changed "women" to "individual". ▪ Added Policy Guidelines regarding genetic counseling.
	Rationale section updated
	In Coding section: ▪ Revised coding notations.

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

REVISIONS	
	References updated
09-01-2017	Description section updated
	In Policy section: ▪ Replaced "genetic polymorphisms" with "variants" to read "Genotyping to determine cytochrome p450 2D6 (CYP2D6) variants is considered experimental / investigational…" ▪ Policy Guidelines updated with addition of Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature and American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines.
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
09-12-2018	Titled revised to "Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment" from "Genetic Testing for Tamoxifen"
	Description section updated
	Rationale section updated
	In Coding section: ▪ Added PLA Code: 0028U
	References updated
01-17-2020	Description section updated
	Rationale section updated
	In Coding section: ▪ Deleted CPT Code: 0028U (Effective 10-01-2018) ▪ Added CPT PLA Codes: 0070U, 0071U, 0072U, 0073U, 0074U, 0075U, 0076U (Effective 10-01-2018)
	References updated
04-16-2021	Updated Description section
	In Policy section • Removed policy guidelines
	Updated Rationale section
	Updated Reference section
09-17-2021	Updated Rationale section
	Updated Reference section
08-23-2022	Updated Description Section
	Updated Rationale Section
	Updated Coding Section ▪ Removed Coding Bullet: "There is a specific CPT code for this testing: 81226"
09-12-2023	Updated Description Section
	Updated Rationale Section
	Updated Coding Section ▪ Removed ICD-10 Diagnoses Box
	Updated References Section
09-12-2023	Archived

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

REFERENCES

1. Goetz MP, Kamal A, Ames -MM. Tamoxifen pharmacogenomics: the role of CYP2D6 as a predictor of drug response. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Jan 2008; 83(1): 160-6. PMID 17882159
2. Stearns V, Johnson MD, Rae JM, et al. Active tamoxifen metabolite plasma concentrations after coadministration of tamoxifen and the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor paroxetine. J Natl Cancer Inst. Dec 03 2003; 95(23): 1758-64. PMID 14652237
3. Swen JJ, Nijenhuis M, de Boer A, et al. Pharmacogenetics: from bench to byte--an update of guidelines. Clin Pharmacol Ther. May 2011; 89(5): 662-73. PMID 21412232
4. Bernard S, Neville KA, Nguyen AT, et al. Interethnic differences in genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 in the U.S. population: clinical implications. Oncologist. Feb 2006; 11(2): 126-35. PMID 16476833
5. Drugs.com. Tamoxifen. Updated February 1, 2023; https://www.drugs.com/pro/tamoxifen.html#ID_5d3c080c-ceac-4255-aef0-9ce46bd1c916. Accessed May 22, 2023.
6. Eli Lilly. Highlights from Prescribing Information: Evista (raloxifene hydrochloride) tablet for oral use. 2023; http://pi.lilly.com/us/evista-pi.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2023.
7. Alfaro CL, Lam YW, Simpson J, et al. CYP2D6 status of extensive metabolizers after multiple-dose fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine, or sertraline. J Clin Psychopharmacol. Apr 1999; 19(2): 155-63. PMID 10211917
8. Alfaro CL, Lam YW, Simpson J, et al. CYP2D6 inhibition by fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, and venlafaxine in a crossover study: intraindividual variability and plasma concentration correlations. J Clin Pharmacol. Jan 2000; 40(1): 58-66. PMID 10631623
9. Lam YW, Gaedigk A, Ereshefsky L, et al. CYP2D6 inhibition by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: analysis of achievable steady-state plasma concentrations and the effect of ultrarapid metabolism at CYP2D6. Pharmacotherapy. Aug 2002; 22(8): 1001-6. PMID 12173784
10. Ahern TP, Hertz DL, Damkier P, et al. Cytochrome P-450 2D6 (CYP2D6) Genotype and Breast Cancer Recurrence in Tamoxifen-Treated Patients: Evaluating the Importance of Loss of Heterozygosity. Am J Epidemiol. Jan 15 2017; 185(2): 75-85. PMID 27988492
11. Drögemöller BI, Wright GEB, Shih J, et al. CYP2D6 as a treatment decision aid for ER-positive non-metastatic breast cancer patients: a systematic review with accompanying clinical practice guidelines. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Feb 2019; 173(3): 521-532. PMID 30411242
12. Abraham JE, Maranian MJ, Driver KE, et al. CYP2D6 gene variants: association with breast cancer specific survival in a cohort of breast cancer patients from the United Kingdom treated with adjuvant tamoxifen. Breast Cancer Res. 2010; 12(4): R64. PMID 20731819
13. Abreu MH, Gomes M, Menezes F, et al. CYP2D6*4 polymorphism: A new marker of response to hormonotherapy in male breast cancer?. Breast. Aug 2015; 24(4): 481-6. PMID 25963137
14. Bijl MJ, van Schaik RH, Lammers LA, et al. The CYP2D6*4 polymorphism affects breast cancer survival in tamoxifen users. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Nov 2009; 118(1): 125-30. PMID 19189212

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

15. Brooks JD, Teraoka SN, Malone KE, et al. Variants in tamoxifen metabolizing genes: a case-control study of contralateral breast cancer risk in the WECARE study. Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet. 2013; 4(1): 35-48. PMID 23565321
16. Chamnanphon M, Pechatanan K, Sirachainan E, et al. Association of CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 polymorphisms and disease-free survival of Thai post-menopausal breast cancer patients who received adjuvant tamoxifen. Pharmgenomics Pers Med. 2013; 6: 37-48. PMID 23776391
17. Damodaran SE, Pradhan SC, Umamaheswaran G, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 increase the risk for recurrence of breast cancer in patients receiving tamoxifen as an adjuvant therapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. Jul 2012; 70(1): 75-81. PMID 22623212
18. De Ameida Melo M, De Vasconcelos-Valença RJ, Neto FM, et al. CYP2D6 gene polymorphisms in Brazilian patients with breast cancer treated with adjuvant tamoxifen and its association with disease recurrence. Biomed Rep. Nov 2016; 5(5): 574-578. PMID 27882219
19. Dezentjé VO, van Schaik RH, Vletter-Bogaartz JM, et al. CYP2D6 genotype in relation to tamoxifen efficacy in a Dutch cohort of the tamoxifen exemestane adjuvant multinational (TEAM) trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Jul 2013; 140(2): 363-73. PMID 23842856
20. Goetz MP, Rae JM, Suman VJ, et al. Pharmacogenetics of tamoxifen biotransformation is associated with clinical outcomes of efficacy and hot flashes. J Clin Oncol. Dec 20 2005; 23(36): 9312-8. PMID 16361630
21. Goetz MP, Suman VJ, Hoskin TL, et al. CYP2D6 metabolism and patient outcome in the Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group trial (ABCSG) 8. Clin Cancer Res. Jan 15 2013; 19(2): 500-7. PMID 23213055
22. Gor PP, Su HI, Gray RJ, et al. Cyclophosphamide-metabolizing enzyme polymorphisms and survival outcomes after adjuvant chemotherapy for node-positive breast cancer: a retrospective cohort study. Breast Cancer Res. 2010; 12(3): R26. PMID 20459744
23. Gunaldi M, Eriksi M, Afsar C, et al. Evaluation of CYP2D6 Polymorphic Types and Their Effect on Tamoxifen Efficacy Among Turkish Tamoxifen Users with Breast Cancer. International Journal of Hematology and Oncology. 2014;3(24):157-62.
24. Hertz DL, Kidwell KM, Hilsenbeck SG, et al. CYP2D6 genotype is not associated with survival in breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen: results from a population-based study. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Nov 2017; 166(1): 277-287. PMID 28730340
25. Johansson H, Gandini S, Serrano D, et al. A pooled analysis of CYP2D6 genotype in breast cancer prevention trials of low-dose tamoxifen. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Aug 2016; 159(1): 97-108. PMID 27484880
26. Karle J, Bolbrinker J, Vogl S, et al. Influence of CYP2D6-genotype on tamoxifen efficacy in advanced breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Jun 2013; 139(2): 553-60. PMID 23686417
27. Kiyotani K, Mushiroda T, Imamura CK, et al. Significant effect of polymorphisms in CYP2D6 and ABCC2 on clinical outcomes of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy for breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol. Mar 10 2010; 28(8): 1287-93. PMID 20124171
28. Kiyotani K, Mushiroda T, Hosono N, et al. Lessons for pharmacogenomics studies: association study between CYP2D6 genotype and tamoxifen response. Pharmacogenet Genomics. Sep 2010; 20(9): 565-8. PMID 20574415

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

29. Lammers LA, Mathijssen RH, van Gelder T, et al. The impact of CYP2D6-predicted phenotype on tamoxifen treatment outcome in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Br J Cancer. Sep 07 2010; 103(6): 765-71. PMID 20700120
30. Lash TL, Cronin-Fenton D, Ahern TP, et al. CYP2D6 inhibition and breast cancer recurrence in a population-based study in Denmark. J Natl Cancer Inst. Mar 16 2011; 103(6): 489-500. PMID 21325141
31. Lei L, Wang X, Wu XD, et al. Association of CYP2D6*10 (c.100C T) polymorphisms with clinical outcome of breast cancer after tamoxifen adjuvant endocrine therapy in Chinese population. Am J Transl Res. 2016; 8(8): 3585-92. PMID 27648149
32. Margolin S, Lindh JD, Thorén L, et al. CYP2D6 and adjuvant tamoxifen: possible differences of outcome in pre- and post-menopausal patients. Pharmacogenomics. Apr 2013; 14(6): 613-22. PMID 23570465
33. Markkula A, Hjertberg M, Rose C, et al. No association found between CYP2D6 genotype and early breast cancer events in tamoxifen-treated patients. Acta Oncol. Feb 2014; 53(2): 195-200. PMID 24125101
34. Martins DM, Vidal FC, Souza RD, et al. Determination of CYP2D6 *3, *4, and *10 frequency in women with breast cancer in São Luís, Brazil, and its association with prognostic factors and disease-free survival. Braz J Med Biol Res. Nov 2014; 47(11): 1008-15. PMID 25296365
35. Morrow PK, Serna R, Broglio K, et al. Effect of CYP2D6 polymorphisms on breast cancer recurrence. Cancer. Mar 01 2012; 118(5): 1221-7. PMID 21823108
36. Mwinyi J, Vokinger K, Jetter A, et al. Impact of variable CYP genotypes on breast cancer relapse in patients undergoing adjuvant tamoxifen therapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. Jun 2014; 73(6): 1181-8. PMID 24682508
37. Newman WG, Hadfield KD, Latif A, et al. Impaired tamoxifen metabolism reduces survival in familial breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res. Sep 15 2008; 14(18): 5913-8. PMID 18794105
38. Nowell SA, Ahn J, Rae JM, et al. Association of genetic variation in tamoxifen-metabolizing enzymes with overall survival and recurrence of disease in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Jun 2005; 91(3): 249-58. PMID 15952058
39. Okishiro M, Taguchi T, Jin Kim S, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 10 and CYP2C19 2, 3 are not associated with prognosis, endometrial thickness, or bone mineral density in Japanese breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant tamoxifen. Cancer. Mar 01 2009; 115(5): 952-61. PMID 19156902
40. Park HS, Choi JY, Lee MJ, et al. Association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and outcomes in breast cancer patients with tamoxifen treatment. J Korean Med Sci. Aug 2011; 26(8): 1007-13. PMID 21860550
41. Park IH, Ro J, Park S, et al. Lack of any association between functionally significant CYP2D6 polymorphisms and clinical outcomes in early breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant tamoxifen treatment. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Jan 2012; 131(2): 455-61. PMID 21437611
42. Province MA, Goetz MP, Brauch H, et al. CYP2D6 genotype and adjuvant tamoxifen: meta-analysis of heterogeneous study populations. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Feb 2014; 95(2): 216-27. PMID 24060820

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

43. Rae JM, Drury S, Hayes DF, et al. CYP2D6 and UGT2B7 genotype and risk of recurrence in tamoxifen-treated breast cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst. Mar 21 2012; 104(6): 452-60. PMID 22395643
44. Regan MM, Leyland-Jones B, Bouzyk M, et al. CYP2D6 genotype and tamoxifen response in postmenopausal women with endocrine-responsive breast cancer: the breast international group 1-98 trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. Mar 21 2012; 104(6): 441-51. PMID 22395644
45. Schroth W, Antoniadou L, Fritz P, et al. Breast cancer treatment outcome with adjuvant tamoxifen relative to patient CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes. J Clin Oncol. Nov 20 2007; 25(33): 5187-93. PMID 18024866
46. Schroth W, Goetz MP, Hamann U, et al. Association between CYP2D6 polymorphisms and outcomes among women with early stage breast cancer treated with tamoxifen. JAMA. Oct 07 2009; 302(13): 1429-36. PMID 19809024
47. Sirachainan E, Jaruhathai S, Trachu N, et al. CYP2D6 polymorphisms influence the efficacy of adjuvant tamoxifen in Thai breast cancer patients. Pharmgenomics Pers Med. 2012; 5: 149-53. PMID 23226070
48. Stingl JC, Parmar S, Huber-Wechselberger A, et al. Impact of CYP2D6*4 genotype on progression free survival in tamoxifen breast cancer treatment. Curr Med Res Opin. Nov 2010; 26(11): 2535-42. PMID 20849243
49. Sukasem C, Sirachainan E, Chamnanphon M, et al. Impact of CYP2D6 polymorphisms on tamoxifen responses of women with breast cancer: a microarray-based study in Thailand. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2012; 13(9): 4549-53. PMID 23167378
50. Teh LK, Mohamed NI, Salleh MZ, et al. The risk of recurrence in breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen: polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and ABCB1. AAPS J. Mar 2012; 14(1): 52-9. PMID 22183189
51. Thompson AM, Johnson A, Quinlan P, et al. Comprehensive CYP2D6 genotype and adherence affect outcome in breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen monotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Jan 2011; 125(1): 279-87. PMID 20809362
52. Toyama T, Yamashita H, Sugiura H, et al. No association between CYP2D6*10 genotype and survival of node-negative Japanese breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant tamoxifen treatment. Jpn J Clin Oncol. Oct 2009; 39(10): 651-6. PMID 19596663
53. Wegman P, Vainikka L, Stål O, et al. Genotype of metabolic enzymes and the benefit of tamoxifen in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res. 2005; 7(3): R284-90. PMID 15987423
54. Wegman P, Elingarami S, Carstensen J, et al. Genetic variants of CYP3A5, CYP2D6, SULT1A1, UGT2B15 and tamoxifen response in postmenopausal patients with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2007; 9(1): R7. PMID 17244352
55. Xu Y, Sun Y, Yao L, et al. Association between CYP2D6 *10 genotype and survival of breast cancer patients receiving tamoxifen treatment. Ann Oncol. Aug 2008; 19(8): 1423-1429. PMID 18407954
56. Yazdi MF, Rafieian S, Gholi-Nataj M, et al. CYP2D6 Genotype and Risk of Recurrence in Tamoxifen Treated Breast Cancer Patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015; 16(15): 6783-7. PMID 26434912

No review or update is scheduled on this Medical Policy. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas will continue to monitor published literature for any updated information. If there are questions about coverage of this service, please contact Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas customer service, or your professional / institutional relations representative.

57. Tamura K, Imamura CK, Takano T, et al. CYP2D6 Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Dosing in Hormone Receptor-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer (TARGET-1): A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase II Study. J Clin Oncol. Feb 20 2020; 38(6): 558-566. PMID 31821071
58. Ruddy KJ, Desantis SD, Gelman RS, et al. Personalized medicine in breast cancer: tamoxifen, endoxifen, and CYP2D6 in clinical practice. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Oct 2013; 141(3): 421-7. PMID 24062210
59. Blancas I, Linares-Rodríguez M, Martínez de Dueñas E, et al. Early increase in tamoxifen dose in CYP2D6 poor metaboliser breast cancer patients and survival: A propensity score matching analysis. Breast. Jun 2023; 69: 342-348. PMID 37011481
60. Goetz MP, Ratain M, Ingle JN. Providing Balance in ASCO Clinical Practice Guidelines: CYP2D6 Genotyping and Tamoxifen Efficacy. J Clin Oncol. Nov 10 2016; 34(32): 3944-3945. PMID 27551126
61. Harris LN, Ismaila N, McShane LM, et al. Use of Biomarkers to Guide Decisions on Adjuvant Systemic Therapy for Women With Early-Stage Invasive Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Oncol. Apr 01 2016; 34(10): 1134-50. PMID 26858339
62. Andre F, Ismaila N, Allison KH, et al. Biomarkers for Adjuvant Endocrine and Chemotherapy in Early-Stage Breast Cancer: ASCO Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol. Jun 01 2022; 40(16): 1816-1837. PMID 35439025
63. Goetz MP, Sangkuhl K, Guchelaar HJ, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for CYP2D6 and Tamoxifen Therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. May 2018; 103(5): 770-777. PMID 29385237
64. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: breast cancer. Version 4.2023. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2023.